DAYLE ELIESON 1 United States Attorney 2 ELHAM ROOHANI Nevada Bar #12080 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 elham.roohani@usdoj.gov 5 Representing the United States of America 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:16-cr-00100-GMN-CWH Plaintiff, 10 Government's Response to Defendant's 11 Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 296) v. 12 JAN ROUVEN FUECHTENER, Defendant. 13 14 15 The United States of America, by and through the undersigned, submits this 16 timely response to the Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration. ECF No. 296. The 17 Government respectfully requests that the Court deny the Defendant's motion as the 18 Defendant offers no basis for reconsideration. 19 "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly 20 discovered evidence; (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly 21 unjust; or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law." Frasure v. United 22 States, 256 F. Supp. 2d 1180, 1183 (D. Nev. 2004) (quoting School Dist. No. 1J, 23

Multnomah County, Or. v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993)). The party seeking reconsideration "must provide a court with valid grounds for reconsideration by: (1) showing some valid reason why the court should reconsider its prior decision, and (2) setting forth facts or law of a strongly convincing nature to persuade the court to reverse its prior decision." Id.

The Defendant has failed to provide any basis for the Court to reconsider its prior decision, aside from his general disagreement with the Court's determination. Therefore, the Court should deny the motion.

Should the Court not deny the motion based on the motion to reconsider standard, the Government respectfully requests that the Court order specially appearing counsel to set forth the actual arguments to which the Government should respond. After the Defendant filed the original motion to release funds, ECF No. 285, the Government responded pointing out the legal failings of the motion. ECF No. 289. Then, in reply, recognizing that the entire legal basis of his argument was incorrect, the Defendant offered a whole new set of arguments in violation of this Court's local rules. ECF No. 291. The instant motion for reconsideration does not set forth any argument explaining which arguments the Defendant would like the Court to reconsider. ECF No. 296. Accordingly, the Government has been unable to respond to the arguments raised in the original reply. The Government requests the ability to meaningfully respond before the Court rules on the merits.

Finally, the Government notes that the Court's original decision was correct.

Magistrate Judge Hoffman strongly admonished specially appearing counsel for

potentially creating a conflict between Ms. Connolly and the Defendant by the filing of the original motion. The Defendant took Judge Hoffman's admonishments to heart, implicitly withdrew his request, and began working with Ms. Connolly on his sentencing arguments.

Due to the procedural posture at this juncture, it is unclear from Ms. Connolly's second motion to withdraw as counsel, and Ms. Connolly's representations that specially appearing counsel did not communicate with her prior to filing this motion, whether this most recent motion for reconsideration has been sanctioned by the Defendant or was filed without his knowledge and consent. Government counsel does not intend to cast aspersions on Ms. Connolly or specially appearing counsel, but the answers to these questions are of imminent importance to the Court's decision. Accordingly, the Government respectfully requests that the Court ascertain the impetus of the request.

For the reasons stated above, the Government respectfully requests that this Court deny the Defendant's motion.

DATED this 27th day of September, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of the United States Attorney's Office. A copy of the foregoing was served upon counsel of record, via Electronic Case Filing (ECF). **DATED** this 27th day of September, 2018. /s/Elham Roohani **ELHAM ROOHANI** Assistant United States Attorney